I THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re P	atent Application of	
Mitsuru Obara et al.		Group Art Unit: 2181
Applic	ation No.: 09/427,114	Examiner: TONIA L. MEONSKE
Filed:	October 26, 1999	Appeal No.:
For:	ASYNCHRONOUS IMAGE DATA PROCESSING SYSTEM	

SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF

Date: August 10, 2006

Mail Stop APPEAL BRIEF – PATENTS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

AUG 1 0 2006

This Supplemental Appeal Brief is filed in response to the Notification of Non-Compliant appeal Brief and the appeal is from the decision of the Primary Examiner, dated January 10, 2006 (Paper No. (010606), in which claims Claims 1-26 were finally rejected. Claims 1-26 are reproduced as the Claims Appendix of this brief.

A check covering the \$250.00 (2402) \$500.00 (1402) government fee is filed herewith.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16, 1.17, and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed within the currently set shortened statutory period, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such extension of time may be charged to our Deposit Account No. 02-4800.

In the event that any additional fees are due with this paper, please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-4800.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: August 10, 2006

Ву: ____

Ellen Marcie Emas

Registration No. 32131

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Table of Contents

			Page	
١.	Real Party in Interest		1	
II.	Related Appeals and Interferences			
III.	Status of Claims			
IV.	Statu	Status of Amendments		
V.	Summary of Claimed Subject Matter			
VI.	Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal			
VII.	Argui	ments	9	
	A.	Rejection of Claims 1-24 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	9	
	В.	Rejection of Claims 25-26 Under 35 U.S.C. §103	10	
VIII.	Conc	lusion	11	
APP	ENDIX	A - CLAIMS		
APP	ENDIX	B - EVIDENCE		
APP	ENDIX	C - RELATED PROCEEDINGS		

I. Real Party in Interest

The present application is assigned to Minolta Co., Ltd., who is the real party in interest.

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no known currently pending related appeals or interferences in the subject application.

III. Status of Claims

Claims 1-26 remain pending in the subject application and are being appealed.

IV. Status of Amendments

No amendments have been made to claim 1-26 subsequent to the final rejection.

V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The present invention as claimed in claims 1, 11, 25 and 26 relates generally to a data processing system, and more particularly, to a data processing system executing a plurality of processings in a prescribed order using a plurality of processors. (page 1, lines 8-10)

Referring to Fig. 1, the data processing apparatus includes an image input device 8 to input image data, processing portions 9 to 12 to perform various processings for each pixel data of the input image data, an image output device 13 formed of an electrophotographic printer or inkjet printer to output the processed image data onto a recording medium such as paper, and a memory 14. (page 5, lines 24-29)

Processing portion 9 performs Log conversion processing for each pixel of the image data input by image input device 8. Processing portion 10 performs MTF

correction to data after the Log conversion at processing portion 9. Processing portion 11 performs gamma correction to the data after the MTF correction at processing portion 10. Processing portion 12 binarizes the data after the gamma correction at processing portion 11. (page 5, line 30 through page 6, line 3)

Image input device 8, processing portions 9 to 12, and image output device 13 (hereinafter referred to "processing portions 8 to 13") are connected to memory 14 through a data bus, and each of processing portions 8 to 13 writes/reads data to/from memory 14 through the data bus. Memory 14 is a common memory to/from which processing portions 8 to 13 can write/read data. Memory 14 also has a controller (not shown) so that any one of processing portions 8 to 13 can read or write data. (page 6, lines 9-15)

The state flag will be now described. The state flag represents which ones of the processing by processing portions 8 to 13 the pixel data has been through, in other words the flag represents which processing is to be performed next. Fig. 3 is a table for use in illustration of the state flag. The state flag is represented by a 3-digit binary number, in other words by 3 bits. If the state flag is "000", the flag represents that the pixel data stored in the data region is data input by image input device 8 and data which can be subjected to Log conversion by processing portion 9. If the state flag is "001", the flag represents that the pixel data stored in the data region has been subjected to Log conversion, and can be subjected to MTF correction at processing portion 10. Similarly if the state flag is "010", the data has been subjected to gamma correction. If the state flag is "011", the data has been subjected to gamma correction and can be binarized. If the state flag is "110", the data has been binarized and can have its image output. If the state flag is "111", the data has its image output. (page 6, line 28 through page 7, line 10)

The state of image data stored in memory 14 will be now described. Fig. 5A shows that image data has been input by image input device 8 and stored in memory 14. The flag regions of the pixel data pieces in this state are all stored as "000". Fig. 5B shows that part of the image data stored in memory 14 has been subjected to

Log conversion processing at processing portion 9. The flag state region of pixel data subjected to the Log conversion has been changed to "001" and stored. Fig. 5C shows that part of the image data stored in memory 14 has been subjected to MTF correction at processing portion 10. The state flag regions of pixel data pieces which have been subjected to the MTF correction are stored as "010". Fig. 5D shows that part of the image data stored in memory 14 has been subjected to gamma correction at processing portion 11. The state flag regions of the pixel data pieces which have been subjected to the gamma correction are stored as "011". Fig. 5E shows that part of the image data stored in memory 14 has been binarized at processing portion 12. The state flag regions of the pixel data pieces which have been binarized are stored as "110". Fig. 5F shows that all the pixel data pieces in the image data stored in memory 14 have been binarized at processing portion 12. The state flag regions of all the pieces of the pixel data are stored as "110". (page 7, line 18 through page 8, line 3)

Referring to Fig. 6, processing portions 9 to 12 read the pixel data pieces stored in memory 14 in the order in which they have been read at image input device 8 (step S01). The state flag of the read pixel data is checked (step S02). The checking of the state flag is performed to determine whether the read pixel data can be processed. For example, referring to Fig. 3, in Log conversion, if the state flag is "000", the data can be processed, and otherwise the data cannot be subjected to Log conversion. Similarly, MTF correction can be performed only if the state flag is "001", gamma correction can be performed only if the state flag is "010", and binarization can be performed only if the state flag is "011". (page 8, lines 7-16)

If read pixel data cannot be processed (NO in step S02), after standing by for a prescribed time period (step S03), pixel data is once again read (step S01). This is because the order of processings to one piece of pixel data is prescribed and pixel data is processed in the order the data has been read by image input device 8. As a result, if the data is determined to be unable to be processed based on the result of checking the state flag in step S02, this means that the pixel data has not been subjected to processing in the preceding stage. For example, when processing portion 11 which performs gamma correction checks the state flag by reading pixel

data, the state flag representing the data unable to be processed is "000" or "001". In this case, pixel data needs only be read after the preceding processing has been completed. The prescribed time period in step S03 needs only be the time period necessary for such preceding processing. (page 8, lines 17-30)

If the processing is determined possible by the checking of the state flag (YES in step S02), the processing is executed (step S04). After the processing, the processed data and state flag are written into memory 14 (step S05). Referring to Fig. 3, the state flag written here is "001" if the process executed in step S04 is Log conversion, "010" for MTF conversion, "011" for gamma correction and "110" for binarization. (page 8, line 31 through page 9, line 3)

Then, the presence/absence of pixel data to be processed is determined (step S06), and if the pixel data to be processed is present, the control proceeds to step S01, and the above process is repeated, and if there is no pixel data to be processed, the processing ends. (page 9, lines 4-7)

In this embodiment image data is stored in memory 14 in the format having the state flag region and data region for each pixel data piece (see Fig. 2), but one state flag may be provided for a plurality of pieces of pixel data, and a format having one state flag region and a plurality of data regions may be employed. Fig. 8 shows an example of such a format having one state flag region and a plurality of data regions. The format shown in Fig. 8 is effective for example if one state flag is provided for one line of pixel data pieces, or the image data is divided into 3×3 or 5×5 matrices and the pixel data included in each matrix is provided with one flag. (page 9, lines 24-33)

In each of the processing portions, a state flag in association with pixel data can be checked to determine whether or not the pixel data can be processed, processing can be executed in an asynchronous manner without synchronization among the processing portions, so that the asynchronous processing can be controlled. (page 10, lines 8-13)

Referring to Fig. 9, a data processing apparatus according to a second embodiment of the invention includes a state control portion 20 in addition to the

construction according to the first embodiment. State control portion 20 is connected to an image input device 8, processing portions 15 to 18, and an image output device 13, and controls these elements. Other than the processings by state control portion 20 and processing portions 15 to 18, the data processing apparatus according to the second embodiment is the same as the data processing apparatus according to the first embodiment, and the description is not repeated here. (page 10, lines 15-23)

Referring to Fig. 10, state control portion 20 rewrites the state flag region of memory 14 into "000" to initialize the state flag (step S10). Then, state control portion 20 constantly monitors the state of memory 14 described in conjunction with Fig. 5, and transmit to processing portions 15 to 18 the address of pixel data to be processed (step S11). Processing portions 15 to 18 access memory 14 based on the address received from state control portion 20 to read pixel data and perform respective processings. When processing has been completed at any of processing portions 15 to 18, an end signal is transmitted to state control portion 20. State control portion 20 is in a stand-by state until the end signal from processing portions 15 to 18 is received (step S12), and once the end signal is received from any of processing portions 15 to 18, the state flag region corresponding to the pixel data processed by the processing portion which has transmitted the end signal is rewritten (step S13). (page 10, line 24 through page 11, line 4)

It is then determined if the final pixel data, in other words, the data which has been read in the end by image input device 8 has the flag "110" (step S14), and if the flag is "110", the control proceeds to step S15. The control otherwise proceeds to step S11 and the process from steps S11 to S13 is repeated. (page 11, lines 10-14)

The state flag of the final pixel data having "110" means that all the pieces of pixel data have been binarized, in other words that all the processings have completed. (page 11, lines 15-17)

In step S15, an instruction to output image data stored in memory 14 is provided to image output device 13. Once image data stored in memory 14 has

been printed and output by image output device 13, the flag regions of all the pieces of pixel data stored in memory 14 are rewritten from "110" into "111" (step S16). Then, the process is completed. (page 11, lines 18-22)

Referring to Fig. 11, processing portions 15 to 18 wait for an instruction from state control portion 20 (step S20). The instruction from state control portion refers to transmission of the address of pixel data to be transmitted from state control portion 20 in step S11 in the state control processing shown in Fig. 10. When the address from state control portion 20 is received, the address in memory 14 is accessed and image data is read (step S21), and the processing is executed (step S22). The processing herein refers to Log conversion, MTF correction, gamma correction or binarization. (page 11, lines 23-31)

Once the processing to the read image data has been completed, the processed data is written in memory 14 (step S23). The address to which the data is written at this time is the address received from state control portion 20 in step S20. Once the writing to memory 14 is completed, an end signal is transmitted to state control portion 20 (step S24). (page 11, line 32 through page 12, line 3)

Thus, the data processing apparatus according to the second embodiment controls processing portions 15 to 18 as it monitors the progress in processing portions 15 to 18 by state control portion 20, so that processing portions 15 to 18 can be operated asynchronously, i.e., without synchronization. (page 12, lines 4-8)

Referring to Fig. 12, a data processing apparatus according to a third embodiment of the invention includes a region determining portion 30 in addition to the data processing apparatus according to the second embodiment. (page 12, lines 10-13)

Region determining portion 30 determines whether or not pixel data input at image input device 8 is pixel data of a solid image before Log conversion by processing portion 15. (page 12, lines 16-18)

The region determining process performed at region determining portion 30 will be now described. Referring to Fig. 13, the region determining process is in a stand-by state until there is an instruction from state control portion 20 (step S40).

The instruction from state control portion 20 herein refers to the reception of the address of pixel data output by state control portion 20 in step S11 in the state control processing in Fig. 10. Once the address of image data is received from state control portion 20 (YES in step S40), a pixel data piece corresponding to the received address and pixel data pieces around that pixel data piece, for example the pixel data pieces included in a 3×3 matrix having in the center the pixel data piece corresponding to the received address is read from memory 14 (step S41). (page 12, lines 19-30)

It is then determined if the 3×3 matrix region is a solid image based on the pixel image data (step S42). The solid image refers to the image in which all the pixel data included in the 3×3 matrix take the same value since image data input by image input device 8 is monochrome according to this embodiment. Note that if the image data input by image input device 8 is color data, the solid image refers to image data in which the chroma and brightness of the image data included in the 3×3 matrix both take the same value. (page 12, line 31 through page 13, line 5)

If it is determined in step S42 that the data is solid image data, a rewriting signal is output to state control portion 20 (step S44). If it is determined that the data is not solid image data (NO in step S42), a rewrite-not-necessary signal is output to state control portion 20 (step S43). Then this processing is completed. (page 13, lines 6-10)

State control portion 20 performs state control processing shown in Fig. 10, and a rewrite signal or rewrite-not-necessary signal is received from region determining portion 30 rather than an end signal. If a rewrite signal is received, the state flag is rewritten into "110" in step S13. If a rewrite-not-necessary signal is received in step S12, the state flag is rewritten into "001" in step S13. (page 13, lines 11-16)

Referring to Fig. 14, in the region determining processing, if the data is determined to be solid image data, the state flag is rewritten into "100". The image data having the state flag rewritten into "100" is then subjected to binarizing. (page 13, lines 17-20)

As described above, the data processing apparatus according to the third embodiment determines if pixel data is solid image data by region determining portion 30. If the pixel data is solid image data, three processings, Log conversion, MTF correction, and gamma correction are not performed, in other words the intermediate process can be omitted so that the data processing can be performed at a higher speed. (page 13, lines 21-26)

The foregoing description of the invention is based upon claims 1, 11, 25 and 26. Claims 1 and 11 are directed to a data processing system comprising a plurality of processor or processor means such as shown in Figure 1, reference numerals 8-13. The processing functions are processed in a prescribed order and execute a different processing function from one another. (page 5, line 30, through page 6, line 3) A memory (reference numeral 14 in Figure 1) stores data to be processed in association with state information and represents the processing to be formed next. (page 6, lines 9-15, line 28, through page 7, line 10, line 18, through page 8, line 3, lines 7-30) Furthermore, by checking the state flags, processing can be executed in asynchronous manner without synchronization among the processors. (page 10, lines 8-13)

Claims 25 and 26 are similar to claims 1 and 11 reciting first and second (image) processors, the second processor executing second processing on data that was subjected to the first processing and the first and second processing are asynchronously executed.

VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The issues for review by the Board of Patent Appeals and interferences are:

- 1) whether claims 1-24 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over *Orimo et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,630,135) in view of FOLDOC (http://foldoc.doc.ic.ac.uk/foldoc/foldoc.cgi?query=image) and *Charles et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,790,842); and
- 2) whether claims 25-26 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over *Orimo et al.* in view of *Charles et al.*

VII. Arguments

A. Rejection of Claims 1-24 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Applicants respectfully submit that the prior art does not show, teach or suggest a) a plurality of processors for executing a series of different types of processing functions on data to be processed in a prescribed order, b) each processor executing a processing function different from one another, c) the processing functions are asynchronously executed on the data and d) one processing is executed on each pixel data by one of the processors at a time as claimed in claims 1 and 11.

Orimo et al. is directed to a multiple-execution method of multiple-version programs (i.e. a plurality of programs for performing the same function but having different program structures). Figures 8 and 9 of *Orimo* show processors 12 and 13 perform the same simulation using different versions while Figure 10 shows processors 11-13 perform the same function using different versions (col. 1, lines 18-20, col. 7, lines 59-67, col. 9 lines 6-19, 55-67). Since processors 12-13 in Figures 8 and 9 and processors 11-13 in Figure 10 performs the same function, nothing in *Orimo et al.* shows, teaches or suggests <u>each</u> processor executes a processing function <u>different from one another</u> as claimed in claims 1 and 11. Rather, *Orimo* teaches away from the claimed invention since processors 12-13 in Figures 8 and 9 and processors 11-13 in Figure 10 perform the <u>same</u> type of processing functions.

Additionally, since *Orimo* discloses that processors 12-13 in Figures 8-9 and processors 11-13 in Figure 10 perform the same function, nothing in *Orimo* et al. shows, teaches or suggests that each processor executes a processing function different from one another as claimed in claims 1 and 11. Rather, *Orimo* et al. teaches away from the claimed invention since the processors perform the same function but have different program structures.

Also, *Orimo* discloses in Figure 9 and column 9, lines 6-19, that both processors 14 and 15 receive messages from the processors 12 and 13. Thus nothing in *Orimo* et al. shows, teaches or suggests one processing is executed on each pixel data by one of the processors at a time as claimed in claims 1 and 11. Rather, *Orimo* et al. teaches away from the claimed invention since both processors

14 and 15 in Figure 9 process the data messages 520a, 520b from processors 12 and 13.

Finally, *Orimo et al.* merely discloses that processors 11-13 process data in parallel (col. 8, lines 34-37, col. 10 lines 11-13 and 50-54). Nothing in *Orimo et al.* shows, teaches or suggests processing functions are asynchronously executed as claimed in claims 1 and 11. Rather, *Orimo et al.* merely discloses processing data in parallel.

FOLDOC merely discloses a digital image is composed of pixels arranged in a rectangular array with a certain height and width. Nothing in FOLDOC shows, teaches or suggests a) each processor executes a processing function different from one another, b) a plurality of processors executing a series of different types of processing functions, c) one processing is executed at a time on each pixel by one of the processors or d) processing functions are asynchronously executed as claimed in claims 1 and 11. Rather, FOLDOC merely discloses a digital image is composed of pixels arranged in a rectangular array.

Charles et al. is directed to a memory arbitration technique which allows multiple processes to share a common memory device. Nothing in Charles et al. shows, teaches or suggests a) each processor executing a processing function different from one another, b) a plurality of processors executing a series of different types of processing functions, c) one processing is executed at a time on each pixel by one of the processors or d) processing functions are asynchronously executed as claimed in claims 1 and 11.

Since nothing in *Orimo et al*, FOLDOC or *Charles et al* shows, teaches or suggests the primary features as claimed in claim 1 and 11, Applicant respectfully request the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverse the rejections to claims 1-24.

B. Rejection of Claims 25-26 Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Applicants respectfully submit that the prior art does not show, teach or suggest a) a second (image) processor for executing second processing on the data

Appeal Brief Application No. 09/427,114

Attorney's Docket No. 1009683-000353

Date 4/20/2006

Page 11

to be processed that was subjected to the first processing and b) the first and second processing are asynchronously executed as claimed in claims 25 and 26.

Orimo et al. discloses in Figure 6 an AP input/output data area (col. 5, lines 13-14), which stores data to be transmitted to other application programs in the data field 30714 (col. 6, lines 44-47). Nothing in Orimo et al. shows, teaches or suggests that this data was subjected to other processing as claimed in claims 25 and 26 (i.e. Orimo et al. discloses data passed between application programs but does not show, teach or suggest executing second processing on the data to be processed that was subjected to the first processing as claimed in claimed 25, 26). Rather, Orimo et al. merely discloses that data output by the application is stored in a data field and subsequently output as part of a message. In other words, the data that is output in the message of Orimo et al is result data and is not data that was subjected to first processing by a first processor.

Furthermore, Orimo et al. merely discloses processors are executed in parallel. Nothing in Orimo et al. shows, teaches or suggests first and second processing are asynchronously executed as claimed in claims 25 and 26. Rather, Orimo et al. only discloses that the processors are executed in parallel.

As discussed above, Charles et al. merely discloses a shared memory device. Nothing in Charles et al. shows, teaches or suggests a) second processing on data to be processed that was subjected to first processing and b) first and second processing are asynchronously executed as claimed in claims 25 and 26.

Since nothing in Orimo et al or Charles et al shows, teaches or suggests the primary features as claimed in claims 25-26, Applicants respectfully request the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverses the rejections to claims 25-26.

VIII. Conclusion

For all of the above stated reasons, applicants respectfully request the Honorable Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverses the Examiner's decision in this application, since applicants respectfully submit that the final rejection of claims 1-26 is in error.



APPENDIX A - CLAIMS

The Appealed Claims

1. (Previously Presented) A data processing system comprising:

a plurality of processors for executing a series of different types of processing functions on data to be processed in a prescribed order, each processor executing a processing function different from one another and said data to be processed being image data that consists of a plurality of pixel data; and

a memory for storing said data to be processed in association with state information to represent the processing to be performed next for each pixel data of said data to be processed, wherein

said processing functions are asynchronously executed on said data to be processed by said plurality of processors, one processing is executed on each pixel data by one of the processors at a time and said plurality of processors share said memory.

- (Original) The data processing system according to claim 1, wherein said plurality of processors each determine if said data to be processed can be processed based on said state information.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim2, wherein

Application No. <u>09/427,114</u> Attorney's Docket No. <u>1009683-000353</u>

Date 4/20/2006

Page 2

said plurality of processors each execute a processing on said data to be processed, and then rewrite said state information corresponding to the processed data.

- 4. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim 1, further comprising a first controller for controlling said plurality of processors to execute said series of processing functions based on said state information.
- 5. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim4, wherein

said first controller rewrites said state information corresponding to processed data in response to the completion of each processing by said plurality of processors.

6. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim 1, further comprising a second controller for determining an attribute of said data to be processed, wherein

said second controller rewrites said state information corresponding to said data to be processed in order to change the order of executing said series of processing functions if it is determined that said data to be processed has a prescribed attribute.

7. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim6, wherein

Application No. <u>09/427,114</u>

Attorney's Docket No. 1009683-000353

Date 4/20/2006

Page 3

said second controller rewrites said state information corresponding to said data to be processed in order to remove a part of said series of processing functions,

if it is determined that said data to be processed has a prescribed attribute.

8. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim

1, wherein

said memory has one region to store said state information corresponding to a

single region where said data to be processed is stored.

9. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim

1, wherein

said memory has one region to store said state information corresponding to a

plurality of regions where said data to be processed is stored.

10. (Canceled)

11. (Previously Presented) A data processing system comprising:

a plurality of processing means for executing a series of processing functions

of different types on data to be processed in a prescribed order, each processing

means executing a processing function different from one another and said data to

be processed being image data that consists of a plurality of pixel data; and

memory means for storing said data to be processed in association with state

information to represent the processing to be performed next for each pixel data of

said data to be processed, wherein

Claims Appendix - 3

Application No. 09/427,114

Attorney's Docket No. <u>1009683-000353</u>

Date 4/20/2006

Page 4

said processing functions are executed asynchronously on said data to be processed by said plurality of processing means, one processing is executed on each pixel data by one of the processing means at a time, and said plurality of processing means share said memory means.

- 12. (Original) The data processing system according to claim 11, wherein said plurality of processing means each determine whether said data to be processed can be processed based on said state information.
- 13. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim12, wherein

said plurality of processing means each execute a processing on said data to be processed and then rewrite said state information corresponding to the processed data.

- 14. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim
 11, further comprising first control means for controlling said plurality of processing
 means to execute said series of processing functions based on said state
 information.
- 15. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim14, wherein

Application No. <u>09/427,114</u>

Attorney's Docket No. 1009683-000353

Date 4/20/2006

Page 5

said first control means rewrites said state information corresponding to processed data in response to the completion of each processing by said plurality of processing means.

16. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim11, further comprising a second control means for determining an attribute of saiddata to be processed, wherein

if it is determined that said data to be processed has a prescribed attribute, said second control means rewrites said state information corresponding to said data to be processed in order to change the order of executing said series of processing functions.

17. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim16, wherein

said second control means rewrites said state information corresponding to said data to be processed in order to remove a part of said series of processing functions if it is determined that said data to be processed has a prescribed attribute.

18. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim11, wherein

said memory means has one region to store said state information corresponding to a single region where said data to be processed is stored.

19. (Previously Presented) The data processing system according to claim11, wherein

said memory means has one region to store said state information corresponding to a plurality of regions where said data to be processed is stored.

- 20. (Canceled)
- 21. (Previously Presented) The data processing system of claim 1 wherein a given data item is stored at the same location in said memory after each of said plurality of processing functions is performed on said given data item.
- 22. (Previously Presented) The data processing system of claim 21 wherein the state information for said given data item is stored at the same location in said memory after each of said plurality of processing functions is performed on said given data item.
- 23. (Previously Presented) The data processing system of claim 11 wherein a given data item is stored at the same location in said memory means after each of said plurality of processing functions is performed on said given data item.
- 24. (Previously Presented) The data processing system of claim 23 wherein the state information for said given data item is stored at the same location in said memory means after each of said plurality of processing functions is performed on said given data item.

25. (Previously Presented) A data processing device comprising: a first processor for executing first processing on data to be processed; a second processor for executing second processing on said data to be processed that was subjected to the first processing; and

a memory for storing said data to be processed in association with state information to represent the processing state of said data, wherein

said first and second processing are asynchronously executed on said data to be processed by said first and second processors and said first and second processors share said memory.

26. (Previously Presented) An image processing device comprising: a first image processor for executing first image processing on image data; a second image processor for executing second image processing on said image data that was subjected to the first image processing; and

a memory for storing said image data in association with state information to represent the processing state of said image data, wherein

said first and second image processings are asynchronously executed on said image data by said first and second image processors and said first and second image processors share said memory.



APPENDIX B - EVIDENCE

(NONE)



APPENDIX C - RELATED PROCEEDINGS

(NONE)